IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Debbie E. McCullough,) C.A. #9:08-2885-PMD
Plaintiff,)
vs.) ORDER
Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security Administration,)))
Defendant.)))

This social security case is before the Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that the final decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (the "Commissioner") to deny the plaintiff's request for benefits be affirmed. The record includes a report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

 $A \, review \, of \, the \, record \, indicates \, that \, the \, magistrate \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, indicates \, that \, the \, magistrate \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \, report \, accurately \, summarizes \, this \, judge's \,$

case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report is incorporated into this

Order. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, the Commissioner's final decision

denying benefits is hereby affirmed.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

PATRICK MICHAEL

United States District Judge

December 1, 2009

Charleston, South Carolina

2